# A Phase 2b, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Presatovir (GS-5806), an Oral RSV Fusion Inhibitor, for the Treatment of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Lower Respiratory Tract Infection in Hematopoietic-Cell Transplantation Recipients

<sup>1</sup>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; <sup>2</sup>The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Seoul, South Korea; <sup>6</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seoul, South Korea; <sup>6</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cen <sup>8</sup>Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic Universitaire de Bordeaux, France; <sup>12</sup>Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ; <sup>13</sup>Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA; <sup>14</sup>City of Hope, Duarte, CA

# Introduction

# **Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV)**

- RSV is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality among children aged <5 y</li> - Worldwide: ~3.4 million hospitalizations, with up to 200,000 deaths<sup>1</sup>
- US: ~60,000 hospitalizations in infants aged <24 mo<sup>2</sup>
- RSV infections also cause significant morbidity and mortality in adults - Elderly with underlying cardiopulmonary disease (prevalence 5–8%); ~10,000 deaths each year among adults aged >50 y<sup>3</sup>
- Immunocompromised: hematopoietic-cell transplant (HCT) and lung transplant patients (prevalence 2–17%); ~25% of upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) progress to lower respiratory tract (LRT)<sup>4</sup>
- No effective treatment for RSV infection is available

# **Presatovir: Oral Small-Molecule RSV Fusion Inhibitor**





Dose-proportional low variability pharmacokinetics (PK); half-life ~33–35 h

#### Concentrates in the lung

- Extracellular lining fluid:plasma area under concentration-time curve ratio ~9.4 in multiple animal models
- Potent activity against RSV
- Mean half-maximal effective concentration 0.4 nM for 75 clinical RSV (types A and B)
- 4-log reduction in RSV viral load, and significant reductions in signs and symptoms of RSV infection in human RSV challenge study<sup>5</sup>
- Favorable safety profile with ~500 adults dosed

# Objectives

- Primary: to evaluate the effect of presatovir on RSV viral load in autologous and allogeneic HCT recipients with acute RSV LRT infection (LRTI)
- Secondary:
- To evaluate the effects of presatovir on rates of respiratory failure and all-cause mortality
- To evaluate the PK, safety, and tolerability of presatovir

Methods			
Study Design	22	28	56
Presatovir 200 mg q4d x5   Placebo q4d x5   Viral Load   Symptoms		Optional Extended Viral Follow-up	)

• 60 RSV-positive patients with evidence of LRTI were randomized 1:1 to receive presatovir vs placebo

Stratification criteria: aerosolized)

### Key assessments at each study visit:

- sequencing) - O<sub>2</sub> saturation assessment

### Key inclusion criteria:

#### Key exclusion criteria:

- by local testing

#### Endpoints:

- Secondary:

### Statistical methods:

- Efficacy:
- Secondary endpoints:

# Results

# **Patient Disposition**

	31 Ass
1	Did not receive treatm
3	<ul><li>D/C treatment</li><li>1 AE</li><li>1 Withdrew consent</li><li>1 Investigator decision</li></ul>
27	Completed treat
21	Completed treat
	30 Include 29 Include

Francisco M. Marty,<sup>1</sup> Roy Chemaly,<sup>2</sup> Anne Bergeron,<sup>3</sup> Per Ljungman,<sup>4</sup> Yae-Jean Kim,<sup>5</sup> Michael Boeckh,<sup>6</sup> Alpana Waghmare,<sup>6</sup> Katherine Mullane,<sup>7</sup> Dong-Gun Lee,<sup>8</sup> Catherine Small,<sup>9</sup> Hans H. Hirsch,<sup>10</sup> Elodie Blanchard,<sup>11</sup> Roberto Patron,<sup>12</sup> Matt McKevitt,<sup>13</sup> Danielle Porter,<sup>13</sup> Ving Guo,<sup>13</sup> Ving Guo,<sup>13</sup> Timothy Watkins,<sup>13</sup> Jason W. Chien,<sup>13</sup> Sanjeet S. Dadwal<sup>14</sup>

- Supplemental O<sub>2</sub> requirement ( $\leq$  or >2 L/min) at time of randomization - Treatment of current RSV infection (yes or no) with ribavirin (RBV; oral, intravenous, or

- Bilateral nasal sampling for viral testing (RSV viral load, respiratory virus multiplex, and

 Electrocardiograms (Days 1, 17, and 28) Safety assessments and blood draws

Received autologous or allogeneic HCT using any conditioning regimen

Evidence of new abnormalities on chest X-ray obtained <48 h before screening and determined to be consistent with LRTI by local radiologist relative to most recent chest X-ray RSV in both upper (eg, nasal swab, nasopharyngeal swab, or nasal wash) and lower (eg, induced sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage, or lung biopsy) respiratory tract as determined by local testing (eg, polymerase chain reaction [PCR], direct fluorescent antibody, respiratory viral panel assay, or culture)

• All samples must have been collected ≤6 d before Day 1

Invasive mechanical ventilation required at time of randomization

- Positive for other respiratory viruses (limited to influenza, parainfluenza, human rhinovirus, adenovirus, human metapneumovirus, and coronavirus) from LRT sample as determined

 Inadequately treated, clinically significant bacteremia or fungemia ≤7 d before screening, or bacterial, fungal, or viral pneumonia ≤2 wk before screening

- **Primary:** time-weighted average change in nasal RSV viral load from Days 1 to 9 (DAVG9) as measured by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR)

 Number of supplemental O<sub>2</sub>-free days through Day 28 • % of patients developing respiratory failure (of any cause) requiring mechanical ventilation (invasive or noninvasive) through Day 28 % of patients with all-cause mortality through Day 28

• Primary efficacy analysis was evaluated in full analysis set (FAS), which included all randomized patients with RSV log<sub>10</sub> viral load  $\geq$  lower limit of quantitation of RT-qPCR assay in Day 1 nasal sample (determined by RT-qPCR at central lab) who received ≥1 dose of study drug Primary endpoint was analyzed using a parametric analysis of covariance model with corresponding baseline RSV viral load and stratification factors as covariates

- Number of supplemental O<sub>2</sub>-free days through Day 28 was analyzed using a negative binomial model with stratification factors as covariates and an offset parameter to account for on-study duration

- % of patients who developed respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation or with all-cause mortality was analyzed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, adjusting for stratification factors

• All endpoints were analyzed using 2-sided tests for treatment differences

**Safety:** performed for all patients who received  $\geq 1$  dose of study drug and summarized by treatment using number (%) of patients with events/abnormalities for categorical data and descriptive statistics for continuous data



HCT-Related Demographics				
		Presatovir, n=30	Placebo, n=29	
Median age, y (IQR)		57 (40, 63)	55 (50, 63)	
Median days from transp	lant to 1 <sup>st</sup> dose (IQR)	451 (169, 863)	517 (182, 703)	
	Acute leukemia	13 (43)	11 (38)	
	Other	7 (23)	5 (17)	
HCT indications $n(%)$	Myeloma	5 (17)	6 (21)	
	Lymphoma	5 (17)	5 (17)	
	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia	0	2 (7)	
	Aplastic anemia	0	1 (3)	
Departure $p(0/)$	Unrelated	18 (60)	15 (52)	
	Matched-related	5 (17)	9 (31)	
Donor type, IT (70)	Autologous	4 (13)	5 (17)	
	Mismatched-related	3 (10)	0	
	Peripheral blood	25 (83)	25 (86)	
Cell source, n (%)	Bone marrow	4 (13)	2 (7)	
	Cord blood	1 (3)	2 (7)	
	Yes	17 (57)	17 (59)	
Acute or chronic graft- vs-host disease. n (%)	No	9 (30)	7 (24)	
	Not applicable, autologous	4 (13)	5 (17)	

### **RSV-Related History**

Hospitalized on 1<sup>st</sup> dosing date, n (%) Median duration of RSV symptoms before 1<sup>st</sup> dose, o Mean baseline viral load, log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL (SD) **RSV**A RSV type, n (%) RSV B

Received RBV, n (%) Inhaled' Oral\* Intravenous

\*From FAS. IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

# Mean Presatovir Exposure >4x paEC<sub>95</sub> for ≥21 Days\*



## **Primary Endpoint: DAVG9 in Full Analysis Set**



### **Secondary Endpoints in Full Analysis Set**

Mean supplemental O<sub>2</sub>-free days through Day 28 (SD) Patients with respiratory failure through Day 28, n (%) All-cause mortality through Day 28, n (%)

Presatovir treatment had no significant effect on clinical endpoints

	Presatovir, n=30	Placebo, n=29
	26 (87)	27 (93)
(IQR)	6 (4, 8)	5 (3, 7)
	6.2 (1.4)	6.0 (1.6)
	15 (50)	14 (48)
	14 (47)	14 (48)
	12 (40)	11 (38)
	5	7
	5	3
	0	1

	Presatovir, n=29	Placebo, n=28	p-Value
)	21 (11.1)	20 (11.7)	0.84
	3 (10)	3 (11)	0.98
	0 (0)	2 (7)	0.19

Effect of Need for Supplemental O <sub>2</sub> at Baseline				
Supplemental O₂ ≤2 L/min	Presatovir, n=19	Placebo, n=18	p-Value	
Adjusted mean DAVG9 (95% CI)	-1.51 (-2.1, -1.0)	-1.15 (-1.7, -0.6)	0.35	
Mean supplemental O <sub>2</sub> -free days through Day 28 (SD)	27 (5)	23 (10)	0.16	
Patients with respiratory failure through Day 28, n (%)	0	0	N/A	
All-cause mortality through Day 28, n (%)	0	1 (6)	0.35	
Supplemental O <sub>2</sub> >2 L/min	Presatovir, n=10	Placebo, n=10	p-Value	
Adjusted mean DAVG9 (95% CI)	-0.40 (-1.2, 0.4)	-0.89 (-1.6, -0.1)	0.35	
Mean supplemental O <sub>2</sub> -free days through Day 28 (SD)	9 (11)	13 (12)	0.48	
Patients with respiratory failure through Day 28, n (%)	3 (30)	3 (30)	0.98	
All-cause mortality through Day 28, n (%)	0	1 (10)	0.36	
I/A, not applicable.				

• Presatovir-treated patients who did not require supplemental  $O_2$  at baseline had numerically more supplemental O<sub>2</sub>-free days

### **RBV Use Did Not Seem to Account for Lack of Presatovir Fffect**

Presatovir, n=10	Placebo, n=11	p-Value
-0.8 (-1.6, -0.01)	-0.6 (-1.4, 0.2)	0.64
18 (12)	22 (11)	0.50
1 (10)	0	0.39
0	1 (9)	0.39
Presatovir, n=19	Placebo, n=17	p-Value
-1.2 (-1.7, -0.6)	-1.2 (-1.8, -0.7)	0.88
22 (11)	18 (12)	0.84
22 (11) 2 (11)	18 (12) 3 (18)	0.84 0.74
	Presatovir, n=10   -0.8 (-1.6, -0.01) 18 (12)   18 (12) 1 (10)   0 0   Presatovir, n=19 -1.2 (-1.7, -0.6)	Presatovir, n=10Placebo, n=11 $-0.8(-1.6, -0.01)$ $-0.6(-1.4, 0.2)$ $18(12)$ $22(11)$ $1(10)$ $0$ $0$ $1(9)$ Presatovir, n=19Placebo, n=17 $-1.2(-1.7, -0.6)$ $-1.2(-1.8, -0.7)$

 Of placebo-treated patients, those who did not receive RBV treatment experienced a faster decline in DAVG9



## Safetv Summarv

Patients, n (%)	Presatovir, n=30	Placebo, n=29
TEAE	24 (80)	23 (79)
Grade ≥3	7 (23)	9 (31)
Serious TEAE	7 (23)	7 (24)
Early drug D/C due to TEAE	1 (3)	3 (10)
Early study D/C due to TEAE	0	2 (7)
Treatment-emergent death	0	2 (7)
TEAE, treatment-emergent AE.		

- No significant imbalance in TEAEs
- Presatovir-treated patients had fewer infection- and respiratory-related Grade ≥3 **TEAEs**
- Infections and infestations: presatovir, n=1 (3%; influenza); and placebo, n=4 (14%; pneumonia, bacterial infection, febrile infection, and sepsis)
- Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders: presatovir, n=1 (3%; respiratory failure); and placebo, n=4 (14%; respiratory failure, pulmonary embolism, and respiratory tract congestion
- No significant imbalance in laboratory abnormalities

0.

#### **Treatment-Emergent Grade 3 and 4 Laboratory Abnormalities**

UNUMAINES				
	Patients, n (%)	Presatovir, n=30	Placebo, n=29	
_iver	Alanine aminotransferase >5x ULN	3 (10)	0	
	Aspartate aminotransferase >5x ULN	1 (3)	0	
	Alkaline phosphatase >5x ULN	1 (4)	0	
	Bilirubin >2.5x ULN	0	1 (3)	
lematology	Hemoglobin <7.5 g/dL	8 (27)	9 (31)	
	Leukocytes <1500/mm <sup>3</sup>	1 (3)	6 (21)	
	Lymphocytes <500/mm <sup>3</sup>	6 (21)	8 (28)	
	Neutrophils <750/mm <sup>3</sup>	1 (3)	7 (24)	
	Platelets <50,000/mm <sup>3</sup>	6 (20)	4 (14)	
upper limit of norm	al			

## **Postbaseline F-Gene Population Sequencing**

Presatovir, n=29	Placebo, n=28
27 (93)	22 (79)
6 (21)	0
4 (14)	0
2	
1	—
1	
1	—
1 (3)	0
1	—
1 (3)	0
1	
	Presatovir, n=29 $27 (93)$ $6 (21)$ $4 (14)$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1 (3)$ $1 (3)$ $1$

tions previously selected by presatovir treatment in clinical studies or in vitro and shown to reduce susceptibility to presatovir, including L138F/I, F140 //W, T323A, D338Y, S398L, K399I/N, T400A/I/V, I474T, D486N, E487D, F488L/S/Y, and N517I; <sup>†</sup>Mutations previously selected by or shown to reduce ptibility to other RSV fusion inhibitors in vitro for which presatovir susceptibility is unknown, including G143S, V144A, D392G, K394R, D401E, D486E d D489E/Y: <sup>‡</sup>Amino-acid substitution at known fusion inhibitor resistance-associated positions for which presatovir susceptibility is

## Primary and Secondary Endpoints by Resistance Status

	Presat		
	No resistance, n=23	Resistance, n=6	Placebo, n=28
Median DAVG9 (IQR)	-1.44 (-2.34, -0.78)	-0.34 (-0.49, 1.19)	-0.89 (-1.73, -0.18)
Median supplemental O <sub>2</sub> -free days (IQR)	28 (25, 28)	3 (0, 6)	28 (9, 28)
Respiratory failure, n (%)	1 (4)	2 (33)	3 (11)
All-cause mortality, n (%)	0	0	2 (7)

# Conclusions

- Presatovir exposure levels were well above 4x paEC<sub>95</sub> for desired duration
- Presatovir treatment had no significant impact on primary or secondary endpoints in patients with RSV infection with LRTI - Trends suggest that early treatment of less severely ill patients (those that do not require supplemental  $O_2$ ) may be more effective Lack of efficacy may be related to delayed treatment
- Presatovir was well tolerated, without evidence of any significant clinical or laboratory adverse effects
- Presatovir-treated patients had fewer infection- and respiratoryrelated Grade ≥3 TEAEs
- RSV F-gene mutations were detected in 21% of presatovir-treated patients, but the clinical implications of this are unknown
- Presatovir treatment was not beneficial in HCT patients with documented RSV LRTI

#### References

1. Nair H, et al. Lancet 2010;375:1545-55; 2. Hall CB, et al. N Engl J Med 2009;360:588-98; 3. Thompson WW, et al. JAMA 2003;289:179-86; 4. Kim Y-J, et al. J Infect Dis 2014;209:1195-204; 5. DeVincenzo JP, et al. N Engl J Med 2014;371:711-22; 6. Seo S, et al. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2013;19:589-96. Acknowledgments

#### We extend our thanks to the patients and their families. This study was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Disclosures

Astellas, Chimerix, Janssen, Medicines Company, Novartis, Oxford Immunotec, Pulmotec, Workhard, Xenex; A. Bergeron, D.-G. Lee, E. Blanchard, M. McKevitt, D. Porter, I Jordan, Y. Guo, P. German, T. Watkins, and J.W. Chien: Gilead; R. Patron: nothing to disclose; P. Ljungman: Gilead, Ablynx, AiCuris, Astellas, Oxford Immunotec, Shire; Y.-J. Kim: Gilead, Celltrion, Janssen; M. Boeckh: Gilead, AbbVie, Astellas, Chimerix, Helocyte, MSD, Shire; A. Waghmare: Gilead, Aviragen; K. Mullane: Gilead, Astellas, Chimerix, Contrafect, Crestovo, GSK, Leonard Merlin, MedImmune, MSD, Nohla, Sage, Shire, Synexis; C. Small: Gilead, GSK, MSD, ViiV; H.H. Hirsch: Gilead, Chimerix, Novartis; S.S. Dadwal: Gilead, AiCuris, Ansun Biopharma, GSK, MSD, Oxford Immunotec, Shire.



**Gilead Sciences, Inc** 333 Lakeside Drive Foster City, CA 94404 800-445-3235